

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- ☐ **BLACK BORDERS**
- ☐ **IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES**
- ☐ **FADED TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☐ **BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☐ **SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES**
- ☐ **COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS**
- ☐ **GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS**
- ☐ **LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT**
- ☐ **REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY**
- ☐ **OTHER:** _____

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/077,423	02/15/2002	Boris Andreyevich Krasnoiarov	PLM003001	3748

29825 7590 08/12/2004

LAW OFFICE OF RICHARD A. DUNNING, JR.
343 SOQUEL AVENUE
SUITE 311
SANTA CRUZ, CA 95062

EXAMINER

SAIN, GAUTAM

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2176

DATE MAILED: 08/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/077,423

Applicant(s)

KRASNOIAROV ET AL.

Examiner

Gautam Sain

Art Unit

2176

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 September 2002.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-60 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-60 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/13/02</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Art Unit: 2176

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1) The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

1-1) Claims 1, 2, 16, 17, 30, 31, 33, 46, 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C.

103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant Admitted Prior Art (hereinafter "Aapa"), in view of Lowery et al (US 5894554, issued Apr 13, 1999).

Regarding claims 1, 16, 31, 46, Aapa teaches receiving a ... components (ie., portal displays content to user upon user supplying user ID in the request with other data)(page 3, lines 10-21).

Aapa teaches after receiving ... content (ie., call to retrieve CRM content)(page 6, lines 12-20).

Aapa does not teach, but Lowery teaches sending ... information request (ie., multi-threaded ... simultaneous processing)(col 4, lines 40-53)(concurrently processing ...)(col 6, lines 20-32).

Aapa teaches forming ... requests ... transmitting ... client (ie., process assembles the retrieved content and sends ... user terminal for display)(col 6, lines 18-24).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the Aapa to include multi-threading for

Art Unit: 2176

simultaneous/concurrent processing of personal web page content generation as taught by Lowery, providing the benefit of a method for creating personal pages while releasing the Web server to process other requests on one or more data sources in response to request (Lowery, Abstract section).

Regarding claims 2, 17, 32, 47, Aapa teaches single request ... Web pag (ie., portal displays content to user upon user supplying user ID in the request with other data)(page 3, lines 10-21).

Aapa teaches forming ... transmitting ... client (ie., process assembles the retrieved content and sends ... user terminal for display)(col 6, lines 18-24).

1-2) Claims 3, 13, 14, 15, 18, 28, 29, 30, 33, 43, 44, 45, 48, 58, 59, 60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant Admitted Prior Art (hereinafter "Aapa"), in view of Lowery et al (as cited above), further in view of Greenwood (US 6675212, filed Jun 12, 2000).

Regarding claims 3, 18, 33, 48, Aapa in view of Lowery does not expressly teach, but Greenwood teaches instantiating a timer ... web page (ie., period of time between additional data request)(col 4, lines 17-20).

Aapa in view of Lowery does not expressly teach, but Greenwood teaches if no response ... steps of ... immediately ... carrying out ... waiting for that response (ie., user is notified of the failure to obtain the request downloaded; the new instance of the user interface is created to display in the foreground and given active control in step 32 of figure 3A – the task is killed and user is notified

Art Unit: 2176

of the failure where the user gets displayed a page without the requested downloads and can continue browsing)(col 9, lines 1-35).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Aapa in view of Lowery to include killing a requested task once a period of time has elapsed and the user is notified of the unsuccessful attempt and allowed to continue browsing without the requested data as taught by Greenwood, providing the benefit of an system and method for efficient data browsing that allows a user to automatically continue with a data browsing session and automatically receive a requested data file when the requested data file's download is temporarily delayed (Greenwood, col 3, lines 44-48).

Regarding claims 13, 28, 43, 58, Aapa teaches standard network protocol (ie., content components ... communicable via standard network protocol)(page 3, lines 22-25).

Regarding claims 14, 29, 44, 59, Aapa teaches Aapa teaches ... HTTP ... (page 3, lines 22-25).

Regarding claims 15, 30, 45, 60, Aapa in view of Lowery does not expressly teach, but Greenwood teaches generating a state machine ... request; and recursively ... information request (ie., system monitors the download process and delivers progress indicators to users of download delays and processes termination request after some time has elapsed)(col 7, lines 29-40; col 9, lines 1-49).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Aapa in view of Lowery to a system that monitors a

Art Unit: 2176

download process and delivers progress indicators to users of downloading delays and processes termination requests after some time has elapsed as taught by Greenwood, providing the benefit of a system and method for efficient data browsing that allows a user to automatically continue with a data browsing session and automatically receive a requested data file when the requested data file's download is temporarily delayed (Greenwood, col 3, lines 44-48).

1-3) Claims 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant Admitted Prior Art (hereinafter "Aapa"), in view of Lowery et al (as cited above), further in view of Greenwood (as cited above), further in view of Anuff et al (US 6327628, filed May 19, 2000).

Regarding claims 4, 19, 34, 49, Aapa in view of Lowery and Greenwood does not expressly teach, but Anuff teaches "converting ... format" (ie., different platforms ... JSP or ASP ;Portal server allows for JSP, ASP using the same JAVA libraries)(col 2, lines 60-67)(Manager and services ... configuration ... data driven resolution ... runtime resolution)(col 4, line 16 – col 5, line 67).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Aapa in view of Lowery, Greenwood to include a method to deal different platforms with data driven resolution as taught by Anuff, providing the benefit of a portal server that enables various resources to be controlled by the independent entities without affecting the portal, where

Art Unit: 2176

individual businesses and other entities can exercise complete ownership of their portals, ... (Anuff, Abstract section).

Regarding claims 5, 20, 35, 50, Aapa in view of Lowery and Greenwood does not expressly teach, but Anuff teaches "common ... markup language" (ie., code generated by the portal server in HTML – where converted data is presented in a common layout/sytle...)(col 4, line 20).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Aapa in view of Lowery and Greenwood to include a method to deal different platforms with data driven resolution on a HTML based platform as taught by Anuff, providing the benefit of a portal server that enables various resources to be controlled by the independent entities without affecting the portal, where individual businesses and other entities can exercise complete ownership of their portals, ... (Anuff, Abstract section).

Regarding claims 6, 21, 36, 51, Aapa in view of Greenwood and Anuff does not expressly teach, but Lowery teaches "coverting ... servers" (ie., page servers incorporates data from multiple data sources into single page, which resides on a separate machine responsible for maintaining a connection cache for serving those specific components to the client and these are processed on different servers than the web server)(col 5, lines 40-65; lines 10-19).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the Aapa in view of Greenwood and Anuff to include data is incorporated from multiple data sources into a single page as taught by Lowery, providing the benefit of a method for creating personal pages while

Art Unit: 2176

releasing the Web server to process other requests on one or more data sources in response to request (Lowery, Abstract section).

Regarding claim 7, 22, 37, 52, Aapa in view of Lowery and Greenwood does not expressly teach, but Anuff teaches converting step ... user terminal (ie., different platforms ... JSP or ASP ;Portal server allows for JSP, ASP using the same JAVA libraries)(col 2, lines 60-67)(Manager and services ... configuration ... data driven resolution ... runtime resolution)(col 4, line 16 – col 5, line 67).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Aapa in view of Lowery, Greenwood to include a method to deal different platforms with data driven resolution at the main server during runtime as taught by Anuff, providing the benefit of a portal server that enables various resources to be controlled by the independent entities without affecting the portal, where individual businesses and other entities can exercise complete ownership of their portals, ... (Anuff, Abstract section).

Regarding claims 8, 23, 38, 53, Aapa teaches corporate portal server (ie., corporate portals)(page 2, lines 20-30).

Regarding claims 9, 24, 39, 54, Aapa teaches Internet portal server (ie., personalized “web portals”)(page 2, lines 20-30)(Internet)(col 5, line 2).

Regarding claims 10, 25, 40, 55, Aapa teaches “each of the ... physically separate ... protocol” (ie., weather server 202 is separate from the News server 206 and connected on the standard network protocol)(page 4, lines 23-30; fig 2, items 202-206, 220).

Art Unit: 2176

Regarding claims 11, 26, 41, 56, Aapa teaches ... HTTP ... (page 3, lines 22-25).

Regarding claims 12, 27, 42, 57, Aapa teaches first component server ... management servers (ie., email server)(page 5, lines 10-15)(CRM ... email)(page 6, lines 12-20).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gautam Sain whose telephone number is 703-305-8777. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Feild can be reached on (703)305-9792. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

GS

GS


JOSEPH FEILD
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER